

"Spaghetti" Earthquake Rubric

	9 – 10 points	7 – 8 points	5 – 6 points	0 – 4 points
Diagram (Blueprint)	<p>Diagram drawn extremely well.</p> <p>Professional look.</p> <p>Highly detailed.</p> <p>Parts labeled.</p> <p>Easy to understand.</p>	<p>Diagram drawn Satisfactorily.</p> <p>Drawing neat.</p> <p>Good detail.</p> <p>Most parts labeled.</p> <p>Understandable</p>	<p>Diagram messy.</p> <p>Not labeled.</p> <p>Some detail.</p> <p>Difficult to read or understand.</p>	<p>No diagram turned in.</p> <p>Poor or sloppy drawing.</p> <p>Very difficult to read or understand.</p>
Use of Materials / Clean-up	<p>Materials properly used and cared for.</p> <p>No materials wasted.</p> <p>Area cleaned up thoroughly by the bell.</p>	<p>Materials used properly but some wasted material.</p> <p>Area reasonably cleaned up by the bell.</p> <p>Materials being put away at bell.</p>	<p>Some or most materials wasted damaged or lost.</p> <p>Area not clean by the time bell rings.</p> <p>Materials put away after bell.</p>	<p>Most materials lost, damaged or wasted.</p> <p>Work area left a mess.</p>
Team Cooperation	<p>Group works very well together. Ideas from all members listened to and respected.</p> <p>Excellent participation.</p> <p>Appropriate discussion of topic.</p>	<p>Group works adequately well. For the most part ideas respected and listened to by all members.</p> <p>1 or 2 warnings given for lack of cooperation or participation.</p> <p>Some arguing or inappropriate discussion.</p>	<p>Group not cooperating. Not respecting or listening to others.</p> <p>3 or more times warned for lack of cooperation or not participating.</p> <p>Arguing or inappropriate discussion.</p>	<p>Group not attempting to work or cooperate.</p> <p>Inappropriate discussions not related to project.</p> <p>Total lack of participation.</p>
Group Presentation / Internet Research	<p>Well presented. Presentation shows evidence of planning</p> <p>Two or more examples of research given.</p> <p>Excellent description of how research applies to project.</p>	<p>Presentation good. Some evidence of planning.</p> <p>One or two examples of research</p> <p>Good description of how research applies.</p>	<p>Presentation weak. Little planning.</p> <p>One example of research given.</p> <p>Weak description of how research applies.</p>	<p>Presentation poor - little or no planning shown.</p> <p>No examples of research given.</p> <p>Poor description of how research applies.</p>
Building of Structure / Design	<p>Very well built. Supports egg easily without leaning or swaying.</p> <p>Project requirements are met and exceeded.</p> <p>Project completed on time.</p>	<p>Structure stands on own but leans or wobbles. Will support egg.</p> <p>Project requirements are met.</p> <p>Completed on time.</p>	<p>Structure stands but will not support egg.</p> <p>Most project requirements are met.</p> <p>Requires extra time to complete project.</p>	<p>Structure will not stand on own. Will not support egg.</p> <p>Project requirements are not met.</p> <p>Structure not finished even with extra time.</p>
Final Test <small>(points count as extra credit)</small>	<p>Egg does not fall and structure remains intact for 10 sec.</p>	<p>Egg falls but structure remains intact and standing for 10 seconds</p>	<p>Egg falls.</p> <p>Structure breaks or falls within 10 sec.</p>	<p>No test / No structure.</p> <p>Structure so poorly built it cannot be tested.</p>

Points can be deducted on an individual basis. These deductions will only affect the individual and not the entire team. Examples of individual deductions are listed in the special instructions.